



The 10th problem

Igor E. Shparlinski

In the year 2000, exactly one hundred years after David Hilbert posed his now famous list of 23 open problems, The Clay Mathematics Institute (CMI) announced its seven Millennium Problems. (<http://www.claymath.org/millennium>). Any person to first publish a correct solution, proof or disproof of one of the following problems: 1) Birch and Swinnerton–Dyer Conjecture, 2) Hodge Conjecture 3) Navier–Stokes Equations 4) P versus NP 5) Poincaré Conjecture 6) Riemann Hypothesis 7) Yang–Mills Theory, does not only earn immortal fame but will be awarded the generous sum of one million US dollars. With Perelman’s (likely) proof of the Poincaré Conjecture, the continued optimism about an impending proof of the Riemann Hypothesis, and the omission of such famous problems as Twin Primes and Goldbach, it seems the CMI would have been wise to have followed Hilbert’s example in announcing not 7 but 23 Millennium Problems. The Gazette will try to repair the situation, and has asked leading Australian mathematicians to put forth their own favourite ‘Millennium Problem’. Due to the Gazette’s limited budget, we are unfortunately not in a position to back these up with seven-figure prize monies, and have decided on the more modest 10 Australian dollars instead.

In this issue Igor Shparlinski will explain his favourite open problem that should have made it to the list.

Exponential and character sums with polynomials

1 Introduction

Let p be an odd prime. We denote $\mathbf{e}(z) = \exp(2\pi iz/p)$ and use χ to denote a non-principal multiplicative character modulo p . An enormous number of number theoretic (and not only) results depend on bounds of exponential and character sums

$$S(N; f) = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \mathbf{e}(f(n)) \quad \text{and} \quad T(N; f) = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \chi(f(n))$$

with a polynomial f with integer coefficients of degree $n \geq 1$, see [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13] and references there in. The celebrated *Weil bound* asserts that for $N = p$, that is, for *complete sums* we have

$$|S(p; f)| \leq (n-1)p^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad |T(p; f)| \leq (n-1)p^{1/2} \quad (1)$$

unless there is “an obvious” reason why this cannot be true. In the case of the sums $S(N; f)$ this reason is simply the fact that f is a constant polynomial modulo p . In the case of the sums $T(N; f)$ this reason is simply the fact that f is a k th power of another polynomial modulo p , where k is the order of the character χ . Under a similar conditions one has bounds for *incomplete sums*

$$|S(N; f)| = O(np^{1/2} \log p) \quad \text{and} \quad |T(N; f)| = O(np^{1/2} \log p) \quad (2)$$

for every $N \leq p$.

2 Polynomials of large degree

One immediately remarks that the bounds (1) are useless if $n > p^{1/2}$. Despite a half a century history of attempts to obtain a general nontrivial result beyond the square-root bound, we still do not know any such result. However, in some special cases, very ingenious methods have been invented, see [1, 2, 5, 6, 4], which may be a good indication (and even a way to go) that such a non-trivial general bound exists. Proving such a bound or showing that it does not exist would have a tantalising effect on a vast number of areas such as number theory, algebraic geometry, coding theory, theoretic computer science and cryptography.

3 Short sums

Even if n is small (for example $n = 2$) the bounds (2) are also useless for “short” sums with $N \leq p^{1/2}$ and generally the situation seems to be a mirror reflection of the situation with polynomials of large degree. However, here there is one important exception for linear polynomials. Namely, the celebrated *Burgess bound* [3] asserts that if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $N \geq p^{1/4+\varepsilon}$ then

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^N \chi(n+a) \right| = O(Np^{-\delta}) \quad (3)$$

for any integer a , see also [7, 10]. Curiously enough, all known proofs of this bound are based on the Weil bound (1).

This naturally leads to two questions:

- *What about even shorter sums? For example with $N \geq p^\varepsilon$?*
This question seems to be extremely hard, such a bound does not even follow from the Extended Riemann Hypothesis (at least not in an obvious way, unless $a = 0$). Moreover it would immediately imply the famous Vinogradov’s conjectures about the smallest quadratic non-residue and primitive root modulo p (both are believed to be of order $O(p^\varepsilon)$). Thus it would probably be too ambitious to believe that we will be able to prove a nontrivial bound for N of order p^ε . However, moving beyond $1/4 + \varepsilon$ could be a much easier but still enormously important achievement.
- *What about extending the Burgess bound (3) to polynomials of higher degree? For example $n = 2$?*

Again, it seems that even the Extended Riemann Hypothesis is of no help here. Besides being a very natural number theoretic problem, such a bound would have a number of applications, including better analysis of a polynomial factorisation algorithm over finite fields, see Section 1.1 (and Problem 1.3 in particular) in [11]. Even the special case of quadratic polynomials of the form $f(X) = (X+a)(X+b)$ (the only one needed for the aforementioned purpose) seems to be hard (however, it is not infeasible to hope for some progress in the nearest future).

References

- [1] J. Bourgain, *Mordell type exponential sum estimates in fields of prime order*, Comptes Rendus Mathematique **339** (2004), 321–325.
- [2] J. Bourgain, *Mordell’s exponential sum estimate revisited*, Preprint, 2004.
- [3] D. A. Burgess, *The distribution of quadratic residues and non-residues*, Mathematika **4** (1957), 106–112.

- [4] T. Cochrane, J. Coffelt and C. G. Pinner, *A further refinement of Mordell's bound on exponential sums*, Acta Arith. **116** (2005), 35–41.
- [5] T. Cochrane and C. G. Pinner, *Stepanov's method applied to binomial exponential sums*, Quart J. Math. **54** (2003), 243–255.
- [6] T. Cochrane and C. G. Pinner, *An improved Mordell type bound for exponential sums*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), 313–320.
- [7] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic number theory*, (Amer. Math. Soc. Providence 2004).
- [8] S. V. Konyagin and I. E. Shparlinski, *Character sums with exponential functions and their applications*, (Cambridge Univ. Press Cambridge 1999).
- [9] N. M. Korobov, *Exponential sums and their applications*, (Kluwer Acad. Publ. Dordrecht 1992).
- [10] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite fields*, (Cambridge University Press Cambridge 1997).
- [11] I. E. Shparlinski, *Finite fields: Theory and computation*, (Kluwer Acad. Publ. Dordrecht 1999).
- [12] I. E. Shparlinski, *Cryptographic applications of analytic number theory*, (Birkhauser 2003).
- [13] R. C. Vaughan, *The Hardy–Littlewood method*, (Cambridge Univ. Press Cambridge 1981).

Department of Computing, Macquarie University, Sydney, NSW 2109

E-mail: igor@ics.mq.edu.au